



This work was supported in part by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 690893.





Decentralized Coded Caching in Wireless Networks: Trade-off between Storage and Latency

Antonious M. Girgis¹, Ozgur Ercetin², Mohammed Nafie^{1,3} and Tamer ElBatt^{1,3}

¹WIRELESS Intelligent Network Center (W NC), Nile University, Cairo, Egypt ²Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Sabanci University, Istanbul, Turkey ³Electronics and Communications Dept., Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

Context and motivation

- The increasing number of wireless devices is leading to rapid evolution of the traffic load.
- Mobile video will generate much of the mobile traffic growth through 2021 [1].



• Caching most popular contents close to user terminals is a promising solution.

[1] Cisco visual networking index: Global mobile data traffic forecast white paper, Feb. 2017.

Context and motivation

 B_{1}, B_{2}

 A_{1}, B_{1}

 $B_2 \oplus A_1$

....

≻An information theoretic view for caching systems [1].

A novel centralized caching scheme for an error-free broadcast channel >Decentralized placement [2].

The caches of users are filled independently for each other.

Coded caching concept for interference networks[3]

Characterization of DoF for 3 × 3 interference channel with caches at transmitters.

Coded Caching in Fog-Radio Access Networks (F-RAN)[4]

Centralized baseband processing at the cloud + Edge processing at ENs equipped with caches. Introducing Normalized Delivery Time (NDT) as a performance metric.

Decentralized coded caching problem for $2 \times K_r$ F-RAN architecture.

[1] M. A. Maddah-Ali and U. Niesen, "Fundamental limits of caching," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, 2014.

[2] M. A. Maddah-Ali and U. Niesen, "Decentralized coded caching attains order-optimal memory-rate tradeoff," IEEE/ACM Trans. Netwo., 2015.

[3] M. A. Maddah-Ali and U. Niesen, "Cache-aided interference channels," in IEEE ISIT, 2015.

[4] R. Tandon and O. Simeone, "Cloud-aided wireless networks with edge caching: Fundamental latency trade-offs in fog radio access networks," in IEEE ISIT, 2016.

- A cloud server has a library of N files $\mathcal{W} \triangleq \{W_1, \dots, W_N\}$ each of size F bits.
- A set of K_t Edge nodes, EN_1, \dots, EN_{K_t} , each is equipped with a cache memory V_i of size $\mu_t NF$ bits.





- ENs are ready to serve requests of K_r users through a Gaussian interference channel.
- User k is equipped with a cache memory Z_k of size $\mu_r NF$ bits.

Cloud

Library of N files



• The cloud is connected to each EN via a fronthaul link of capacity $C_F = rlog(P)$ bits per channel use, where *r* measures to the multiplexing gain of the fronthaul link.



- Placement Phase: Fill the cache memory of each node at the off-peak hours.
- Delivery Phase: Users' requests are revealed
 - Fronthaul Transmission: The cloud sends a message U_i of block length T_F to EN_i over the fronthaul link.
 - Edge Transmission: Each EN_i transmits a message X_i of block length T_E over the wireless channel.
 - Decoding function: Each user k can decode the requested file from its cache contents Z_k and the received signals $Y_k(1), \dots, Y_k(T_E)$.

$$Y_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{K_t} h_{ki} X_i(t) + Z_k(t)$$

• Performance metric: Normalized Delivery time (NDT) $\delta = \lim_{P \to \infty} \lim_{F \to \infty} \sup \frac{T}{F/\log(P)}$

T refers to end-to-end latency of transmission.

 $F/\log(P)$ is the delivery time for interference-free, unlimited cache system.

Transmission types

- Serial transmission: Fronthaul and edge transmissions occur *consecutively*.
 - End-to-end Latency $T = T_F + T_E$.

$$\delta_S = \delta_F + \delta_E$$

- Pipelined transmission: Fronthaul and edge transmissions occur *simultaneously*.
 - End-to-end latency $T = \max(T_F, T_E)$.

$$\delta_P = \max(\delta_F, \delta_E).$$

Decentralized placement

- Each EN stores independently at random $\mu_t F$ bits from each file.
- Each user stores independently at random $\mu_r F$ bits from each file.

Each file is split into $2^{K_t+K_r}$ fragments. W_{j,S_t,S_r} Fragment of file *j* stored exclusively at $S_t \subset [K_t]$ ENs and $S_r \subset [K_r]$ users.



- Let user one request file A and user two request file B.
- User one wants fragments $A_{0,0}, A_{1,0}, A_{2,0}, A_{12,0}, A_{0,2}, A_{1,2}, A_{12,2}$
- User two wants fragments $B_{0,0}, B_{1,0}, B_{2,0}, B_{12,0}, B_{0,1}, B_{1,1}, B_{12,1}$
- The delivery scheme is divided into five stages.

Cloud

h 11 B 0,0

3,

((g))

- Let user one request file A and user two request file B.
- User one wants fragments $A_{0,0}, A_{1,0}, A_{2,0}, A_{12,0}, A_{0,2}, A_{1,2}, A_{12,2}$
- User two wants fragments $B_{0,0}, B_{1,0}, B_{2,0}, B_{12,0}, B_{0,1}, B_{1,1}, B_{12,1}$
- h22 A0,0 h2 B0,0 • The delivery scheme is divided into five stages.
 - 1. Delivery of fragments $A_{0,0}$, $B_{0,0}$
 - The cloud implements Zero-forcing beamforming to be transmitted to ENs over the fronthaul links [1].
 - ENs deliver the cloud messages to users over the wireless channel.

$$\delta_F^{(1)} = \frac{|A_{0,0}|}{r}$$
$$\delta_E^{(1)} = |A_{0,0}|$$

[1] O. Simeone, O. Somekh, H. V. Poor, and S. Shamai, "Downlink multicell processing with limited-backhaul capacity," EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing, 2009.



....

 $(h_{11}h_{22}-h_{12}h_{21})A_{0}$

- 2. Delivery of fragments $A_{12,0}$, $B_{12,0}$
 - ENs apply ZF-beamforming over interference channel

$$\delta_F^{(2)} = 0$$

 $\delta_E^{(2)} = |A_{12,0}|$



- 2. Delivery of fragments $A_{12,0}$, $B_{12,0}$
 - ENs apply ZF-beamforming over interference channel

 $\delta_F^{(2)} = 0$ $\delta_E^{(2)} = |A_{12,0}|$

- 3. Delivery of fragments $A_{0,2}$, $B_{0,1}$
 - The cloud sends $A_{0,2} \oplus B_{0,1}$.
 - ENs deliver the cloud messages to users over the wireless channel.

$$\delta_F^{(3)} = \frac{|A_{0,2}|}{r}$$
$$\delta_E^{(3)} = |A_{0,2}|$$



4. Delivery of fragments $A_{1,2}, B_{1,1}, A_{2,2}, B_{2,1}, A_{12,2}, B_{12,1}$



- 5. Delivery of fragments $A_{1,0}, B_{1,0}, A_{2,0}, B_{2,0}$
 - A. Mapping to 2×2 X-channel.
 - EN_s apply interference alignment scheme [1] to achieve $DoF = \frac{4}{2}$.



- B. Mapping to MISO broadcast channel.
- Cloud send $A_{1,0}$, $B_{1,0}$ to EN_2 , and $A_{2,0}$, $B_{2,0}$ to EN_1 .
- EN_s apply ZF-beamforming to achieve DoF = 2.



Achievable Scheme performance

Theorem: For $2 \times K_r$ F-RAN with decentralized caching placement

$$\delta_{S}^{dec} = \begin{cases} \delta_{F}^{(a)} + \delta_{E}^{(a)} & r < K_{r} \\ \delta_{F}^{(b)} + \delta_{E}^{(b)} & r \ge K_{r} \end{cases}$$
$$\delta_{P}^{dec} = \min\left\{\max\left(\delta_{F}^{(a)}, \delta_{E}^{(a)}\right), \max\left(\delta_{F}^{(b)}, \delta_{E}^{(b)}\right)\right\}$$

$$\delta_F^{(a)} = \frac{(1-\mu_t)^2(1-\mu_r)}{r\mu_r} \left[1 - (1-\mu_r)^{K_r} - \frac{K_r}{2}\mu_r(1-\mu_r)^{K_r-1} \right]$$

$$\delta_F^{(b)} = \frac{(1-\mu_t)^2(1-\mu_r)}{r\mu_r} \left[1 - (1-\mu_r)^{K_r} - \frac{K_r}{2}\mu_r(1-\mu_r)^{K_r-1} \left(\frac{1-3\mu_t}{1-\mu_t}\right) \right]$$

$$\delta_E^{(a)} = \frac{(1-\mu_r)}{\mu_r} \left[1 - (1-\mu_r)^{K_r} - \left(\frac{K_r}{2} - \mu_t (1-\mu_t)\right) \mu_r (1-\mu_r)^{K_r-1} \right]$$

$$\delta_E^{(b)} = \frac{(1-\mu_r)}{\mu_r} \left[1 - (1-\mu_r)^{K_r} - \frac{K_r}{2} \mu_r (1-\mu_r)^{K_r-1} \right]$$
 16

Lower bound



Special cases

For $\mu_t = 1$, and/or $r = \infty$: two EN_s have all the library.

$$\delta = \frac{(1-\mu_r)}{\mu_r} \left[1 - (1-\mu_r)^{K_r} - \frac{K_r}{2} \mu_r (1-\mu_r)^{K_r-1} \right]$$

The NDT of broadcast channel with single antenna [2]

$$\delta = \frac{(1-\mu_r)}{\mu_r} [1 - (1-\mu_r)^{K_r}]$$

The achievable NDT is lower than NDT in [2] with term $\frac{K_r}{2}(1-\mu_r)^{K_r}$







[2] M. A. Maddah-Ali and U. Niesen, "Decentralized coded caching attains order-optimal memory-rate tradeoff," IEEE/ACM Trans. Netwok., 2015.

Special cases

For $\mu_r = 0$: The caches are available at EN_s only.

Theorem: For pipelined transmission, the decentralized scheme is optimal in region $r \ge (1 - \mu_t^2)$ and $0 < r \le (1 - \mu_t^2)$, $0 < \mu_t \le 0.5$. For serial transmission, the decentralized scheme achieves $\frac{\delta_s^{dec}}{\delta_s^*} \le 3$ in region $r \ge 1$ and 0 < r < 1, $0 \le \mu_t \le \sqrt{2} - 1$

The decentralized scheme is not tight for large cache size ($\mu_t > 0.5$) due to the random placement.

Numerical Results

The performance of the decentralized scheme for the general case

• $K_r = 100$.

• *r* = 1.

- The maximum gap is about 10 for pipelined transmission.
- The maximum gap is about 20 for serial transmission.



[2] M. A. Maddah-Ali and U. Niesen, "Decentralized coded caching attains order-optimal memory-rate tradeoff," IEEE/ACM Trans. Netwok., 2015.

Conclusion

- Studying the decentralized coded caching for $2 \times K_r$ Fog-Radio Access Networks for pipelined and serial transmissions.
- Deriving lower bound on the minimum NDT for $K_t \times K_r$ Fog-Radio Access Networks for pipelined and serial transmissions.
- Evaluation of the performance of the decentralized scheme.

Extensions:

- Characterization of the NDT for $K_t \times K_r$ F-RAN with decentralized placement.
- Studying the NDT for an F-RAN under nonuniform distribution.